**POSC 2501:** **Introduction to International Politics**

Fall 2016 –

11:30 am – 12:45 pm MR

Prof. Raymond Kuo

Welcome to *POSC 2501: Introduction to International Politics*. This course is an introduction to the causes and nature of international conflict and cooperation, as seen through historical episodes, contemporary concerns, and social scientific theories that cast light on them.

Topics include the causes of war and peace, international political economy, the sources of international order and its breakdown, and the rise of challenges to national security and national sovereignty. Central questions include: Why do states wage war? What explains the conduct and the outcomes of warfare? Why do states sometimes liberalize trade and sometimes engage in protectionism? Are international economic rules fair to developing countries? To developed ones? Why do states cooperate? Why do they construct international organizations limiting their freedom of action and legal sovereignty? Why do they engage in seemingly ethical and altruistic behavior in areas such as human rights? What possibilities are there to provide global public goods, such as a clean environment or a world free from weapons of mass destruction? Are “non-state actors” usurping the prerogatives of sovereign states?

This course has four principal objectives:

1. To help you develop a “toolkit” of theoretical perspectives that can be applied to analyze any past or current international challenge;
2. To arm you with a broad understanding of international history and, from that basis, afford you the opportunity to develop your own informed opinions and positions about contemporary foreign policy challenges.
3. To develop your ability to draw causal connections across historical cases, both to foster stronger arguments, but also to discover or reinforce a fascination with the complexity, frustration, and awesomeness of international affairs and politics.
4. To develop your professional skills, such as time management, meeting deadlines, and general administration and logistics that you will need in the workforce.

**Schedule**

Classes meet on Tuesdays and Fridays from 11:30 am to 12:45 pm. The reading list for each week can be found below. Attendance is mandatory, per university guidelines.

**Readings**

All course readings are available through e-reserves on our Blackboard course site. You should also consider purchasing the following book:

* Henry Kissinger, *Diplomacy* (New York, 1994). NOTE: This is also available on Blackboard as a pdf, but it is MUCH easier to read it in book form.

In addition, I have posted additional content to the “Extra Materials” portion of our Blackboard page. If you are new to the study of International Relations, I especially encourage you to read the pieces “Reading Theory” and “Reading History.”

**Contact Information**

Prof. Kuo’s office is Faber 671. He may be reached at [rkuo@fordham.edu](mailto:rkuo@fordham.edu) (preferred and more reliable) or x3969. His regular office hours are Mondays, 1:30-3:00 pm and by appointment. He is also frequently available from 1:30-3:00 pm on Thursdays.

**Additional Information**

I have posted a number of guidelines and materials on Blackboard. In particular, please refer to the “Course Guidelines and Expectations” document for my general expectations regarding student conduct and decorum. I have also posted guides on citation and formatting, which you should refer to before starting your papers.

Papers should be written in Microsoft Word or PDF and e-mailed to me as an attachment at [rkuo@fordham.edu](mailto:rkuo@fordham.edu). Other formats (Pages, Google Docs) will not be accepted as they are not a standard, accept format in the majority of business or academic settings. Please use the following convention for the document names:

“POSC 2501 (Assignment) Firstname Lastname”

**Summary of Key responsibilities and Dates**

*Attendance and Participation*

Classes will be lecture-based. However, I welcome and encourage questions, so feel free to interrupt me at any time if something isn’t clear or doesn’t make sense. In addition, attendance will be taken and constitutes the majority of this portion of your grade.

*1st Written Assignment: Response Paper* *Student Choice, but before Oct. 27*

Each student will be responsible for a “response paper” from one of the sessions before Oct. 27. This should be a deep analysis of the issues presented in 1-2 (at minimum) readings **from that session’s readings**,[[1]](#footnote-1) probing its main argument, whether it convinces you (and why or why not), whether it requires additional evidence, and/or other issues or problems you would like to raise. Alternatively, you can elucidate a broad theme found in several of the readings and critically assess how it applies theoretically and/or in terms of policy.

The paper should be **no more than 750 words long**, excluding citations.[[2]](#footnote-2) The completed assignment must be sent to me by e-mail attachment 24 hours prior to the lesson being covered. In other words, if you choose the March 4 session, your paper is due March 3 at 1 pm.[[3]](#footnote-3)

*Mid-Term*: In class. There will be a review session Oct. 13. Oct. 17

*2nd Written Assignment: Policy Memo/Op-Ed Due Dec. 5*

Each student will be responsible for completing *either* a policy memo *or* an op-ed piece in response to a contemporary issue that arises in regard to one of the topics discussed in the classes after Oct. 24. The policy memo must recommend a policy with regard to the issue for a decision-maker within a national government, a leading NGO, or a private corporation active in that area. Please be sure to specify to whom the memo is addressed. The op-ed must address a contemporary issue in a timely, journalistic fashion and should be aimed, directly or indirectly, at influencing public and elite opinion about policy. For more information, see the course handouts on writing a policy memo and writing an op-ed.

These should be **no more than 750 words long**, without citations.[[4]](#footnote-4) The completed assignment must be sent to me by e-mail attachment on the deadline date by 5 pm. Late submissions will be graded down. As in the worlds of policy and journalism, technical difficulties are the responsibility of the writer.

*Final Exam*:

Take home exam, open book, open notes, typed, 12 pt font, double spaced, Times New Roman, with page limit. Only sources used directly in class allowed, full citations required. You will have 24 hours to complete the exam and must e-mail it to me at [rkuo@fordham.edu](mailto:rkuo@fordham.edu) using the filename guideline above.

The weighting of each assignment is as follows:

1. *Response Paper*: *20*%
2. *Policy Memo/Op-Ed*: 20%
3. *Mid-term Exam*: 25%
4. *Final Exam*: 25%
5. *Participation*: 10%

**Schedule of Topics and Readings**

*NOTE*: If you cannot locate a reading, please check Blackboard and Ares. If you still have trouble locating it, Fordham’s library provides electronic access to many academic and policy journals. If that is the case, please send me an e-mail so I can let the rest of the class know.

*Sept. 1* **Course introduction/overview**

* *In-Class Simulation*: The Oil Market Game (a preview of my negotiations course). Also, come to class ready to discuss the following questions:
  + What is rationality?
  + Do we behave rationally? When/under what conditions?
  + Can we *assume* rationality in international behavior?

*Sept. 8* **Realism****and the First 10,000 Years: Why Does War Occur?**

* Jared Diamond, *Guns, Germs and Steel: The Fates of Human Societies,* pp. 67-81, 85-92.
* Hans Morgenthau, “Six Principles of Political Realism.” In Art and Jervis, pp. 7-14.
* Kenneth Waltz, “The Anarchic Structure of World Politics.” In Art and Jervis, pp. 29-49.

*Sept. 12* **Ancient Statecraft and the Peloponnesian War: Do States Bargain Rationally?**

* Thucydides, *The Peloponnesian War,* selections. (40 pp. illustrated typescript)
* Dani Reiter, “Exploring the Bargaining Model of War,” *Perspectives on Politics* (March 2003), pp. 27-30.
* John T. Rourke, “Foreign Policy,” in Rourke, *International Relations on the World Stage* 11th edition(McGraw-Hill, 2007), selections. (14 pp.)

*Sept. 15* **International Order and Institutions**

* Andrew Moravcsik, “Liberal Theories of International Relations,” (21 pp.)
* Robert Keohane, "Neo-Liberal Institutionalism: A Perspective on World Politics," in Keohane, ed. *International Institutions and State Power* (1989), pp. 1-7.
* G. John Ikenberry, “An Institutional Theory of Order Formation,” in *After Victory* (2001), pp. 50-72.
* Jeffry Frieden, David Lake and Kenneth Schultz, *World Politics: Interests, Interactions, Institutions* (2010), pp. 62-72.

*Sept. 19* **The Concert of Europe and the Rise of Germany: Can International Institutions Mitigate War?**

* Henry Kissinger, *Diplomacy* (1994), pp. 78-167.
* John Mearsheimer, *Tragedy of Great Power Politics* (2001), pp. 209-217.
* Paul Schroeder, *The Transformation of European Politics, 1763-1848* (1994), pp. 575-82.

*Sept. 22* **Britain from Mercantilism to Laissez-Faire: Why Do States Open or Close Their Economies to Trade?**

* Thomas Lairson and David Skidmore, International Political Economy, pp. 44-55, 229-230.
* Adam Smith, "The Wealth of Nations" in Zahariadis, ed., Contending Perspectives in International Political Economy, pp. 3-5.
* Alexander Hamilton, "Report on Manufactures", excerpted in George Crane and Abla Amawi, eds. The Theoretical Evolution of International Political Economy, pp. 37-41.
* Mark Brawley, "The Politics of Trade,” in Turning Points, pp. 145-160, 209-218.
* Michael Hiscox, “The Domestic Sources of Foreign Economic Policies,” in Art and Jervis, pp. 280-289.
* Robert Keohane and Joseph Nye, Politics and Interdependence, 3rd (2001), pp. 5-10.

*Sept. 26* **British Imperialism: Why Do States Establish Formal and Informal Empires?** (86 pp.)

* Tony Smith, "The Dynamics of Imperialism", in *Pattern of Imperialism*, pp. 15-49. (35 pp.)
* Ronald Robinson and John Gallagher, "The Imperialism of Free Trade" and "The Partition of Africa", in H. Wright, ed., *The ‘New Imperialism’,* pp. 134-148, 151-158. (23 pp.)
* [OPTIONAL] Adam Hochschild, *Bury the Chains* (2005)*,* pp. 3-8, 62-65, 333-349. (24 pp.)

*Sept. 29* **The Causes and Conduct of the “Great War:” Can War Be Accidental?**

* Henry Kissinger, “A Political Doomsday Machine,” in Diplomacy, pp. 168-217 (50 pp.)
* Robert Jervis, “Offense, Defense and the Security Dilemma,” In Art and Jervis, pp. 153-173. (20 pp.)
* James Fearon, “Rationalist Explanations for War,” International Organization (Summer 1995), pp. 379-414. (35 pp.)
* **Documents:** Immanuel Geiss, ed. July 1914: The Outbreak of the First World War, pp.77-80, 122-24, 200-01, 258-60, 282-87, 309-13. (23 pp.)

*Oct. 3* **Woodrow Wilson and the League of Nations: Is There a Democratic Peace? If So, Does It Matter?**

* Henry Kissinger, *Diplomacy,* "The New Face of Diplomacy (conclusion only)", "The Dilemmas of the Victors", and "Stresemann and the Re-emergence of the Vanquished", pp. 239-245, 246-265, 266-287. (48 pp.)
* G. John Ikenberry, *After Victory,* pp. 117-162. (45 pp.) Also review Ikenberry reading from Sept. 25.
* Michael Doyle, “Kant, Liberal Legacies, and Foreign Affairs,” In Art and Jervis, pp. 83-95. (12 pp.)
* ***Documents:*** Woodrow Wilson, Speeches: “Peace Without Victory” (22 January 1917); “War Message to Congress” (2 April 1917); “Fourteen Points,” (8 January 1918). (7 pp.)

*Oct. 6* **The Great Depression: Why Do States Adopt Protectionist and “Beggar-Thy-Neighbor” Responses to Economic Crises?**

* Thomas Lairson and David Skidmore, *International Political Economy,* pp. 57-64. (8 pp.)
* Charles Kindleberger, “An Explanation of the 1929 Depression,” in *The World in Depression, 1929-1939* (1986)*,* pp. 288-305. (19 pp.)
* Barry Eichengreen, “The Political Economy of the Smoot-Hawley Tariff,” in Jeffry Frieden and David Lake, eds. *International Political Economy: Perspectives on Power and Wealth* (2000), pp. 37-46. (10 pp.)
* Jeffry Frieden, *Global Capitalism: Its Rise and Fall in the Twentieth Century* (2006), “The Turn to Autarky” and “Building a Social Democracy”, pp. 195-250. (55 pp.)

*Oct. 13* **Review Session**

*Oct. 17* **In-class mid-term**

*Oct. 20***World War 2: What is the Role of Ideology, Domestic Politics, and Non-Rational Behavior in Causing War?** (89 pp.)

* Henry Kissinger, *Diplomacy,* "The End of Illusion,” “The Nazi-Soviet Pact” (selections only), pp. 288-318, 350-355, 365-368. (38 pp.)
* AJP Taylor, “Second Thoughts,” *The Origins of the Second World War* (1961), pp. xi-xxviii. (18 pp.)
* Wilhelm Deist, “The Road to Ideological War: Germany, 1918-1945,” *The Making of Strategy,* pp. 380-392. (12 pp.)
* Scott D. Sagan, "The Origins of the Pacific War," *The Journal of Interdisciplinary History,* 18:4 (Spring 1988), pp. 893-914. (21 pp.)

*Oct. 24* **The Origins of the Cold War: More on the Role of Ideology and Domestic Politics in Causing Conflict** (100 pp.)

* Henry Kissinger, Diplomacy, “The Beginning of the Cold War,” “The Success and the Pain of Containment,” and “The Dilemma of Containment: The Korean War” (selections), pp. 423-472, 473-483. (59 pp.)
* Melvyn Leffler, “National Security and US Foreign Policy,” The Origins of the Cold War (New York, 2005), pp. 15-32. (17 pp.)

**Documents:**

* George Kennan, “Sources of Soviet Conduct,” American Diplomacy (1951), 107-108, 113-128. (13 pp.)
* “April 17, 1950 Summary of NSC-68,” pp. 1-6. (6 pp.)
* [OPTIONAL] The Novikov Telegram, Sept. 27, 1946. (13 pp.)

*Oct. 27* **The Bretton Woods System: Can International Institutions Free Economic Markets?** (104 pp.)

* Thomas Lairson and David Skidmore, *International Political Economy*, pp. 71-89 and 116-24. (28 pp.)
* Robert Keohane, *After Hegemony* (1984), pp. 85-101, 135-150, 187-190. (37 pp.)
* G. John Ikenberry, *After Victory* (2001), pp. 163-214. (39 pp.) Also review Ikenberry reading in Week 2.

*Oct. 31*  **Nuclear Weapons: Force for Peace or Target for Abolition?** (91 pp.)

* Robert Jervis, “The Utility of Nuclear Deterrence,” In Art and Jervis, International Politics **6th edition** (2003), pp. 221-229. (9 pp.)
* Thomas Schelling. 1966. “The Diplomacy of Violence,” In Art and Jervis, pp. 139-152. (14 pp.)
* John Mueller, “The Irrelevance of Nuclear Weapons,” International Security 13:2 (Autumn1988), pp. 55-79. (24 pp.)
* A Fursenko and T. Naftali. 2006. “Cuban Missile Crisis,” Khrushchev’s Cold War: The Inside Story of an American Adversary (2006), pp.465-93. (28 pp.)
* Ron Rosenblum, “[The Return of the Doomsday Machine?](http://www.slate.com/id/2173108)” Slate (31 August 2007). (2pp.)
* [OPTIONAL] Scott Sagan and Kenneth Waltz, “[The Great Debate: Is Nuclear Zero the Best Option](http://nationalinterest.org/greatdebate/yes-3950/),” The National Interest (Sept/Oct 2010), (Sagan Says Yes, Waltz Says No, Sagan Responds, Waltz Responds) (12 pp.)

*Nov. 3* **Limited War: Why Do Big Countries Fight (and Lose) Small Wars?**

* Leslie Gelb and Richard Betts, “Vietnam: The System Worked,” Foreign Policy 3 (Summer 1971), 140-67. (28 pp.)
* Gary R. Hess, “Nixon-Kissinger and the Ending of the War: A “Lost Victory” or “Neither Peace Nor Honor”?” in Vietnam: Explaining America’s Lost War (Blackwell, 2009), pp. 179-206. (27 pp.)
* Thomas H. Johnson and M. Chris Mason, “[Afghanistan and the Vietnam Template](http://usacac.army.mil/CAC2/MilitaryReview/Archives/English/MilitaryReview_20091231_art004.pdf),” Military Review (November-December 2009), pp. 2-14. (12 pp.)
* Gordon Goldstein, “[Vietnam, Afghanistan and Learning from History](http://articles.latimes.com/2009/nov/12/opinion/oe-goldstein12),” Los Angeles Times (12 November 2009). (1 pp.)
* Frederick Kagan, “[Afghanistan is not Vietnam](http://www.newsweek.com/id/184376/output/print),” Newsweek (11 February 2009). (3 pp.)
* “[The State of the War](http://www.nytimes.com/2010/08/13/opinion/13fri1.html?ref=opinion)”, New York Times editorial (12 August 2010). (3 pp.)
* [OPTIONAL] President Obama’s [Speech](http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/remarks-president-address-nation-way-forward-afghanistan-and-pakistan) at West Point, 1 December 2009. Transcript and video at: (8 pp.)
* [OPTIONAL] Paul Pillar and John Nagl, “The Great Debate: Is Afghanistan the Right War?” The National Interest (March/April 2010), pp. 33-41. (9 pp.) <http://nationalinterest.org/greatdebate/debating-afghanistan/afghanistan-war-pillar-3796>
* [OPTIONAL] Michael O’Hanlon, “Staying Power,” Foreign Affairs (Sept/Oct 2010), pp. 63-79. (17 pp.)

*Nov. 7* **Globalization: Free or Fair? Why Trade Seems More Controversial Today** (82 pp.)

* Lairson and Skidmore, *International Political Economy*, pp. 168-170, 178-183, 226-229, 285-295. (22 pp.)
* Jeffrey Frankel, “Globalization of the Economy,” in Art and Jervis, pp. 303-319. (16 pp.)
* Pankaj Ghemawat, “Why the World Isn’t Flat,” in Art and Jervis, pp. 319-324. (6 pp.)
* John Mickelthwait and Adrian Woolridge, “Why the Globalization Backlash is Stupid,” in Art and Jervis, pp. 333-340. (7 pp.)
* Rawi Abdelal and Adam Segal, “Has Globalization Passed its Peak,” in Art and Jervis, pp. 340-346. (7 p.)
* “China and the WTO: Let me Entertain You,” *Economist* (13 August 2009). (1 p.)
* Jagdish Bhagwati and Arvind Panagariya, “Legal Trade Barriers Must Be Kept in Check,” *Financial Times* (12 June 2009). (1 p.)
* Dani Rodrik, “Sense and Nonsense in the Globalization Debate,” *Foreign Policy* No.107 (Summer, 1997), pp. 19-37 (19 pp.)
* [OPTIONAL] Judith Hippler Bello, “The WTO Dispute Settlement Understanding: Less is More,” *American Journal of International Law* 90:3 (July 1996), pp. 416-418. (3 pp.)

*Nov. 10* **Protecting the Global Environment: Why So Difficult?** (75 pp.)

* Garrett Hardin, “The Tragedy of the Commons,” in Art and Jervis, pp. 347-353. (6 pp.)
* Elinor Ostrom, “Reflections on the Commons,” in Ostrim, *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action* (1990), pp. 2-21. (20 pp.)
* Gareth Porter and Janet Welsh Brown, “The Development of Environmental Regimes: Nine Case Studies,” (selections) in Porter and Brown eds., *Global Environmental Politics*, (1996), pp. 67-81, 105-106. (16 pp.)
* James Prosek, “Give Thanks for… Eel?” *New York Times,* Nov. 24, 2010 [http://www.nytimes.com/2010/11/25/opinion/25prosek.html#](http://www.nytimes.com/2010/11/25/opinion/25prosek.html) (2 pp.)
* [OPTIONAL] Kenneth Oye and James H. Maxwell, “Self-Interest and Environmental Management,” in Robert Keohane and Elinor Ostrom, eds. *Local Commons and Global Interdependence: Heterogeneity and Cooperation in Two Domains* (1995), pp. 191-221. (31 pp.)

*Nov. 14* **Human Rights: What are the Rules We Should Follow?**

* Margaret Keck and Kathryn Sikkink. “Transnational Activist Networks” in Art and Jervis, pp. 477-483.
* Gareth Evans and Mohammed Sahnoun [“The Responsibility to Protect”](http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=aph&AN=7568988&site=ehost-live) *Foreign Affairs* Nov/Dec 2002. Vol. 81 Issue 6, p99-110, (12 pp.)
* James Morrow, “When Do States Follow the Laws of War?” *American Political Science Review* 101(3) (2007): 559-72. (14 pp.)
* [OPTIONAL] Christopher Hitchens, *The Trial of Henry Kissinger* (2001), ix-xi, 6-16, 25-43, 55-71, 127-131. (45 pp.)
* [OPTIONAL] Michael Ignatieff, “Human Rights as Idolatry”, pp. 320-49.

*Nov. 17* **Enforcing the Rules?** **Peace-Keeping, Nation-Building, Humanitarianism Intervention, and Democracy Promotion**

* Minxin Pei and Sara Kasper, “Lessons from the Past: The American Record on Nation Building,” Policy Brief 24 (Carnegie Endowment, 2003), pp. 1-7. (7 pp.)
* James Dobbins, “Nation-Building: UN Surpasses US on Learning Curve,” in Art and Jervis, pp., 457-465. (8 pp.)
* Edward N. Luttwak, “Give War a Chance,” *Foreign Affairs* Vol. 78 no. 4 (July/August 1999): 36-44 <http://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/55210/edward-n-luttwak/give-war-a-chance>
* Samantha Power, “Bystanders to Genocide,” Atlantic Monthly (September 2001), pp. 84-108. (24 pp.)
* [OPTIONAL] Robert Cooper, “The New World Order,” in Robert Cooper, *The Breaking of Nations* (2004), pp. 16-54. (39 pp.)
* [OPTIONAL] Michael Ignatieff, “Human Rights as Politics”, pp. 287-319.

*Nov. 21* **The International Politics of Development: Is the International System Biased Against the Poor?** (136 pp.)

* Lairson and Skidmore, *International Political Economy* 3rd ed., pp. 265-285, 313-338, 373-411. (86 pp.)
* “[The Globalization Index](http://www.foreignpolicy.com/story/cms.php?story_id=3995),” *Foreign Policy* (November-December 2007).
* Dani Rodrik, “How to Save Globalization from its Cheerleaders,” in Frieden, Lake and Broz, *International Political Economy,* pp. 546-566. (20 pp.)
* Jeffrey Sachs, “The End of Poverty,” *Time* (14 March 2005). (5 pp.)
* William Easterly. 2006. *The White Man’s Burden,* pp. 37-59. (25 pp.)

*Nov. 28* **Declining Powers and the Rise of the Rest: The End of the Cold War, American Unipolarity, and China: Is Geopolitical Balancing Obsolete?** (153 pp.)

* Kenneth N. Waltz, “Structural Realism after the Cold War,” International Security 25:1 (Summer 2000): 5-41. (36 pp.)
* G. John Ikenberry, After Victory, pp. 215-56. (41 pp.)
* Barry R. Posen, “The Case for Restraint,” American Interest Online. Access online at http://www.the-american-interest.com/pdf/v3/n2/Posen.pdf. Nov/Dec. 2007. (12 pp.) Response by G. John Ikenberry. (1 p.)
* Robert Kagan, “Power and Weakness,” Policy Review No. 113. June 1, 2002. (20 pp.)
* [OPTIONAL] Andrei Schleifer and Daniel Treisman, “Why Moscow Says No: A Question of Russian Interests, Not Psychology,” Foreign Affairs (January-February 2011), pp. 122-128.

Dec. 1 **The Rise of the Rest: China and the BRICS** (128 pp.)

* Christopher Layne, “The Unipolar Illusion: Why New Great Powers Will Rise,” International Security (Spring 1993), pp. 130-141. (12 pp.)
* Robert Kaplan, “How We Would Fight China,” Atlantic Monthly (June 2005), 49-64. (15 pp.)
* Thomas Christensen, “Fostering Stability or Creating a Monster? The Rise of China and U.S. Policy toward East Asia,” International Security (Summer 2006), pp. 81-126. (45 pp.)
* Susan Shirk, China: Fragile Superpower (2007), pp. 35-78. (42 pp.).
* [OPTIONAL] Ken Miller, “Coping with China’s Financial Power”, Foreign Affairs (July-August 2010), Vol. 89 Issue 4, pp. 96-109. (14 pp.)

*Dec. 5* **Terrorism: Do Non-State Threats Render Traditional World Politics Obsolete?** (90 pp.)

* Max Abrahms, "What Terrorists Really Want: Terrorist Motives and Counterterrorism Strategy." International Security, 32, 4 (Spring 2008):78-105.
* Fawaz Gerges, “Why we Underestimated Al Qaeda,” *The Far Enemy: Why Jihad Went Global*, pp.177-84. (8 pp.)
* David Tucker, “[What is New about the New Terrorism and How Dangerous is It?](http://www.nps.edu/Academics/Centers/CTIW/files/The%20New%20Terrorism.pdf)” *Terrorism and Political Violence* (August 2001), pp. 1-14. (14 pp)
* Francis Fukuyama, “History and September 11,” in Ken Booth and Tim Dunne, eds. *Worlds in Collision: Terror and the Future of Global Order* (2002), pp. 27-36. (10 pp.)
* Colin Gray, “World Politics as Usual after September 11: Realism Vindicated,” in Ken Booth and Tim Dunne, eds. *Worlds in Collision* (2002), pp. 226-234. (8 pp.)
* John Mueller, *Overblown: How Politicians and the Terrorism Industry Inflate National Security Threats, and Why We Believe Them* (2009), Chapter 5, “Nuclear Fears, Cold War Terrorism, and Devils de Jour,” pp. 93-116. (24 pp.)
* [OPTIONAL] Robert Pape, *Dying to Win* (2005), pp.16-24, 27-33, 38-47. (26 pp.)

*Dec. 8*  **The Future of World Politics: The End of History?** (97 pp.)

* Francis Fukuyama, "The End of History", *The National Interest* (Summer 1989), pp. 3-18. (15 pp.)
* Charles Krauthammer, “The Unipolar Moment Revisited,” *National Interest* (Winter 2002-2003), pp. 5-17. (13 pp.)
* Samuel P. Huntington, “The Clash of Civilizations,” *Foreign Affairs* 72 (1992-3), pp. 22-49. (26 pp.)
* Michael Mandelbaum, “Is Major War Obsolete?”, *Survival*, 40/4, 1998-1999, pp. 20-38. (18 pp.)
* Anne-Marie Slaughter, “The Real New World Order,” *Foreign Affairs* (Sept/Oct 1997), pp. 183-197. (15 pp.)
* Francis Fukuyama, “Second Thoughts: The Last Man in a Bottle,” *The National Interest* (Summer 1999), pp. 16-20, 21-23, 31-33. (10 pp.)

1. Since this evidently needs to be made explicitly clear, if you pick the March 8th session, the paper must respond to readings from the March 8th session. It would be due March 7th at 1 pm. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. In other words, citations don’t count against your word count, but – and I’m surprised I even need to write this – you **do need** citations. Please use Microsoft Word’s footnote feature, which automatically excludes text in footnotes from the word count. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. The late penalty is severe: 1/3 of a grade for every 20 mins. late or any portion thereof. As an example, if you submit a paper 17 mins. after the deadline and it would have been an A, you receive an A-.

   The penalty is severe for several reasons, all related to course goal 4. First, you have all your deadlines provided well in advance. There’s no excuse for not making adequate preparations. Second, in the real world, deadlines are exceedingly important. Your future bosses won’t care if you turn in something perfect if it is even 20 mins. late. Third, with small penalties, students try to game the system, trading off a small deduction for an overall better grade. This is unfair to your classmates and fails to reinforce the importance of deadlines (see points 1 and 2 immediately above). [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. See previous footnote. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)